



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,264	10/12/2001	Tsukasa Saito	500.40756X00	8841
24956	7590 12/13/2005		EXAM	INER
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TO, BAO TRAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/975,264	SAITO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bao Tran N. To	2135		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	,		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-4 and 7-13 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2 and 5-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action responds to the applicant's amendment filed on 09/20/2005.

Applicant amended Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 and cancelled Claims 3-4 and 7-13. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 remain for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 09/20/2005 with respect to the rejections based on Sloo and Cogger have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "These portions of Sloo do not disclose or suggest anything related to a provider side processing apparatus connected to a network, or a deliberation-requesting party-side processing apparatus accenting an input designating sent-out contents, capable of being browsed, as recited in the claims of the present application. These portions of Sloo do not disclose or suggest anything related to a browser, as recited in the claims of the present application."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with this above contention. Sloo clearly discloses "Fig. 1, the complaint handling apparatus 10 broadly includes a central computer 12 and plurality of access terminals 14 coupled with the central computer by a communications network 16" (col. 3, lines 5-20). Furthermore, Sloo explicitly discloses "the computer program interface is preferably written in a Standard Generalized Mark-Up Language (SGML) such as Hypertext language. The mark-up language cooperates with a standard server language such as Common Gateway Interface (CGI) or Practical Extraction and Report Language (PERL) for handling the various operation functions of the central computer" (col. 3, lines 55-60).

Therefore, the access terminals 10 are coupled with central computer 12 by communication network 16. Moreover, the computer program interface prompts the user to enter data needed to search and retrieve the information over the network. Furthermore, Sloo discloses the central computer (a provider-side processing apparatus that accepts input designating sent out-contents) allows for records it keeps to be searched and viewed (col. 4, lines 1-45 and col. 5, lines 5, lines 55-65).

Applicant further argues that the Sloo reference neither discloses nor suggests the limitation wherein personal information is transmitted "when the deliberation result indicates that the sent-out contents include an illegality". Examiner disagrees. After a judgment is rendered, an email is sent out, which would necessarily have personal contact information (Sloo, col. 9, lines 10-15). Furthermore, Sloo suggests that the disclosed system is capable of handling matters of law, which would logically requires a determination of illegality to be made (Sloo col. 16, lines 25-67).

Applicant argues against the combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations of Sloo and Cogger. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Sloo and Cogger are indeed analogous art as both references are directed to the general purpose of reporting trouble incidents in the system (see Sloo, col. 5, lines 30-65 and Cogger, col. 4, lines 1-35).

Therefore, the rejection basis dated 06/24/2005 for Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sloo (U.S. Patent 5,895,450) herein referred to as Sloo and further in view of Cogger et al (U.S. Patent 6,032,184) herein referred to as Cogger.

Regarding on Claims 1 and 6, Sloo discloses a personal information managing method in a case of resolving a dispute occurred by information sent out from an information processing apparatus, comprising the steps of:

accepting, by a deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus from a deliberation-requesting-party (access terminals), an input for designating sent-out contents capable of being browsed on a provider-side (central computer) processing apparatus connected via a network (communication network) (col. 3, lines 7-18);

receiving, by said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus, information for identifying a sending-party sending out said designated sent-out contents, from said provider-side processing apparatus, said information having been issued by a ticket management process apparatus and not including personal information on said sending-party (Unique e-mail address is given to identify the complainant; i.e., sending-party) (col. 5, lines 12-28);

transmitting said sent-out contents and said information together with ticket information for identifying a deliberation-requesting party from said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus to a deliberation support (the program to allow users to proceed by selecting options) processing apparatus to request a deliberation ("Request a Judge/Jury") about said sent-out contents (col. 7, lines 28-40 and col. 8, lines 5-60); said information for identifying said deliberation-requesting-party having been issued by said management processing apparatus and not including personal information on said deliberation-requesting-party (col. 5, lines 12-28).

receiving, by said deliberation support processing apparatus, said sent-out contents and both said information from said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus to store said sent-out contents and both said information into a deliberation managing database (complaint data record) in said deliberation support processing apparatus (col. 8, lines 30-60), said sent-out contents becoming a target of said deliberation (resolution of the complaint) (col. 8, lines 35-55);

accepting, by said deliberation support processing apparatus, an input by a deliberation-responsible-party of a deliberation result (judgment) of said requested deliberation to store said deliberation result into said deliberation managing database (complaint data record) (col. 8, lines 50-60);

transmitting the deliberation result and said information for identifying said sending-party together with the ticket information for identifying said deliberation-responsible-party, from said deliberation support processing apparatus to said management processing apparatus (col. 9, lines 5-20);

Application/Control Number: 09/975,264

Art Unit: 2135

to request presentation of said personal information on said sending-party (personal e-mail address is entered by complainant), said information for identifying said deliberation-responsible-party having been issued by said management processing apparatus (col. 5, lines 10-30);

transmitting said personal information on said sending-party identified by said information for identifying said sending party, from said management processing apparatus to said deliberation support processing apparatus when said deliberation result indicates that said sent-out contents include an illegality (violation of law) (col. 16, lines 30-60); and

transmitting said deliberation result (judgment) stored in said deliberation managing database (complaint data record) and said personal information on said sending-party received from said management processing apparatus, from said deliberation support processing apparatus to said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus (col. 9, lines 5-15).

However, Sloo does not teach the individual apparatus, such as "deliberation support processing apparatus and ticket management processing apparatus".

Nevertheless, Sloo teaches one programmed central computer of a complaint handling apparatus provides the functionality of "deliberation support process and ticket management process" (col. 1, lines 66-67 through col. 2, lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sloo's invention to include deliberation support

processing apparatus and ticket management processing apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to allow users processing the complaints.

Sloo does not explicitly disclose the use of "a ticket management processing apparatus".

However, Cogger discloses the ticket management processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 9-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cogger's invention with Sloo to include a ticket instead of the unique email with the motivation being to allow users to analyze the complaints being recorded.

Regarding on Claim 2, Sloo and Cogger further disclose a personal information managing method as claimed in Claim 1, comprising the step of:

accepting, by said deliberation support processing apparatus from said deliberation-responsible-party, an input of inquiry contents (public search) toward said sending-party (complainant) to store said inquiry contents into said deliberation managing database (complaint data record) (col. 5, lines 55-65);

transmitting said inquiry contents and said ticket information, for identifying said sending-party together with said ticket information for identifying said deliberation-responsible party from said deliberation support processing apparatus to said ticket management processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 45-60);

in response to said inquiry contents and both said ticket information (col. 4, lines 15-35);

Application/Control Number: 09/975,264

Art Unit: 2135

thus received, making, by said ticket management processing apparatus, reference to a mail address indicated by as a contact address (e-mail) included in said personal information for identifying said sending-party; and transmitting said inquiry contents from said ticket management processing apparatus to said mail address (col. 9, lines 5-15).

Regarding on Claim 5, Sloo discloses a personal information managing system in a case of resolving a dispute occurred by information sent out from an information processing apparatus, comprising:

a deliberation-requesting-party-side apparatus (access terminals) (col. 3 lines 5-20); and

wherein said deliberation-requesting-party-side apparatus include a deliberation request process unit for accepting, from a deliberation-requesting-party, an input for designating sent-out contents capable of being browsed on a provider-side processing apparatus connected via a network (communication network) (col. 3, lines 5-20),

receiving information for identifying a sending-party sending out said designated sent-out contents, from said provider-side processing apparatus, said information having been issued from said ticket management processing apparatus and not including personal information on said sending-party (Unique e-mail address is given to identify the complainant; i.e., sending-party) (col. 5, lines 12-28), and

for transmitting said sent-out contents and said information together with the information for identifying a deliberation-requesting-party to said deliberation support

(the program to allow users to proceed by selecting options) processing apparatus to request a deliberation ("Request a Judge/Jury") about said sent-out contents (col. 8, lines 15-60, col. 10, lines 45-col. 11, line 20, and col.16, lines 30-65);

wherein a deliberation accepting process unit for receiving, by said deliberation support processing apparatus, said sent-out contents and said information from said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus to store said sent-out contents and both said information into a deliberation managing database in said deliberation support processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 1-60 and col. 5, lines 3-50), said sent-out contents becoming a target of said deliberation (resolution of the complaint) (col. 8, lines 35-55);

process unit for accepting, by said deliberation support processing apparatus, an input of a deliberation result (judgment) of said requested deliberation to store said deliberation result into said deliberation managing database, for transmitting said information stored in said deliberation managing database, from said deliberation support processing apparatus to a ticket management processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 10-35 and col. 5, lines 35-65), and for requesting, by said deliberation support processing apparatus, presentation of said personal information on said sending-party (personal e-mail address is entered by complainant) (col. 5, lines 20-30);

a personal information presenting process unit for receiving by said management processing apparatus, said information from said deliberation support processing apparatus (col. 5, lines 10-20), and

for transmitting said personal information on said sending-party identified by said information for identifying said sending-party, from said management processing apparatus to said deliberation support processing apparatus when said deliberation result indicates that said sent-out contents include an illegality (violation of law) (col. 16, lines 30-60); and

a deliberation result informing process unit for transmitting said deliberation result stored in said deliberation managing database (complaint data record) and said personal information on said sending-party received from said management processing apparatus, from said deliberation support processing apparatus to said deliberation-requesting-party-side processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 45-60).

However, Sloo does not teach the individual apparatus, such as "deliberation support processing apparatus and ticket management processing apparatus".

Nevertheless, Sloo teaches one programmed central computer of a complaint handling apparatus provides the functionality of "deliberation support process and ticket management process" (col. 1, lines 66-67 through col. 2, lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sloo's invention to include deliberation support processing apparatus and ticket management processing apparatus. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to allow users processing the complaints.

Sloo does not explicitly disclose the use of "a ticket management processing apparatus".

However, Cogger discloses the ticket management processing apparatus (col. 4, lines 9-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Cogger's invention with Sloo to include a ticket instead of the unique email with the motivation being to allow users to analyze the complaints being recorded.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Tran N. To whose telephone number is 571-272-8156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,264 Page 12

Art Unit: 2135

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Baotran To 12/07/2005

NIM VU

RATENT EXAM.

OSTIFR 21